arXiv:0910.5951v1 [math.RA] 30 Oct 2009

MODULI SPACE OF 1|2-DIMENSIONAL COMPLEX ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

CHRIS DECLEENE, CAROLYN OTTO, MICHAEL PENKAVA, MITCH PHILLIPSON, RYAN STEINBACH, AND ERIC WEBER

Abstract. In this paper, we study the moduli space of 1|2-dimensional complex associative algebras, which is also the moduli space of codifferentials on the tensor coalgebra of a 2|1-dimensional complex space. We construct the moduli space by considering extensions of lower dimensional algebras. We also construct miniversal deformations of these algebras. This gives a complete description of how the moduli space is glued together via jump deformations.

1. Introduction

The classification of associative algebras was instituted by Benjamin Peirce in the 1870's [12], who gave a partial classification of the complex associative algebras of dimension up to 6, although in some sense, one can deduce the complete classification from his results, with some additional work. The classification method relied on the following remarkable fact:

Theorem 1.1. *Every finite dimensional algebra which is not nilpotent contains a nontrivial idempotent element.*

A nilpotent algebra A is one which satisfies $A^n = 0$ for some n, while an idempotent element a satisfies $a^2 = a$. This observation of Peirce eventually leads to two important theorems in the classification of finite dimensional associative algebras. Recall that an algebra is said to be simple if it has no nontrivial proper ideals, and it is not the 1-dimensional nilpotent algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, given by the trivial product.

Theorem 1.2 (Fundamental Theorem of Finite Dimensional Associative Algebras)**.** *Suppose that A is a finite dimensional algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Then A has a maximal nilpotent ideal N, called its radical. If A is not nilpotent, then A/N is a semisimple algebra, that is, a direct sum of simple algebras.*

Moreover, when A/N satisfies a property called separability over $\mathbb{K}$, then A is a semidirect product of its radical and a semisimple algebra. Over the complex numbers, every semisimple algebra is separable. To apply this theorem to construct algebras by extension, one uses the following characterization of simple algebras.

Date: October 30, 2009.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D15,13D10,14B12,16S80,16E40, 17B55,17B70.

Key words and phrases. Versal Deformations, associative Algebras.

Research of these authors was partially supported by grants from the National Science Foundation and the University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire.

Theorem 1.3 (Wedderburn)**.** *If A is a finite dimensional algebra over $\mathbb{K}$, then A is simple iff A is isomorphic to a tensor product $M \otimes D$, where $M = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{K})$ and D is a division algebra over $\mathbb{K}$.*

In the nongraded case, a division algebra is a unital algebra where every nonzero element has a multiplicative inverse. For $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded associative algebras, the situation is a bit more complicated. First, we need to consider graded ideals, so that a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra is simple when it has no proper nontrivial graded ideals. Secondly, the definition of a division algebra needs to be changed as well, in order to generalize Wedderburn's theorem to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded case. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded division algebra is a division algebra when every nonzero *homogeneous* element is invertible. With these changes, the Fundamental Theorem remains the same, except that the radical is the maximal graded nilpotent ideal, and Wedderburn's theorem is also true, if we understand that by a matrix algebra, we mean the general linear algebra of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space.

In this paper, we shall be concerned with the moduli space of associative algebras on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra A of dimension $2|1$, so that A_0 has dimension 2 and A_1 has dimension 1. However, we recall that this space coincides with the equivalence of odd codifferentials of degree 2 on the parity reversion $W = \Pi A$, which has dimension $1|2$, so in this paper, we shall study codifferentials on a space of dimension $1|2$, but the reader should keep in mind that this corresponds to associative algebras on a $2|1$-dimensional space.

The main goal of this paper is to give a complete description of the moduli space of $2|1$-dimensional associative algebras, including a computation of the miniversal deformation of every element.

2. Construction of algebras by extensions

In [3], the theory of extensions of an algebra W by an algebra M is described in the language of codifferentials. Consider the diagram

$$0 \to M \to V \to W \to 0$$

of associative $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, so that $V = M \oplus W$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space, M is an ideal in the algebra V, and $W = V/M$ is the quotient algebra. Suppose that $\delta \in C^2(W)$ and $\mu \in C^2(M)$ represent the algebra structures on W and M respectively. We can view μ and δ as elements of $C^2(V)$. Let $T^{k,l}$ be the subspace of $T^{k+l}(V)$ given recursively by $T^{0,0} = \mathbb{K}$,

$$T^{k,l} = M \otimes T^{k-1,l} \oplus V \otimes T^{k,l-1}.$$

Let $C^{k,l} = \mathrm{Hom}(T^{k,l}, M) \subseteq C^{k+l}(V)$. If we denote the algebra structure on V by d, we have

$$d = \delta + \mu + \lambda + \psi,$$

where $\lambda \in C^{1,1}$ and $\psi \in C^{0,2}$. Note that in this notation, $\mu \in C^{2,0}$. Then the condition that d is associative: $[d, d] = 0$ gives the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \lambda] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\lambda, \lambda] + [\mu, \psi] = 0, &\quad \text{The Maurer-Cartan equation} \\ [\mu, \lambda] = 0, &\quad \text{The compatibility condition} \\ [\delta + \lambda, \psi] = 0, &\quad \text{The cocycle condition} \end{aligned}$$

Since μ is an algebra structure, $[\mu,\mu]=0$, so if we define D_μ by $D_\mu(\varphi)=[\mu,\varphi]$, then $D_\mu^2=0$. Thus D_μ is a differential on $C(V)$. Moreover $D_\mu : C^{k,l}\to C^{k+1,l}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\mu^{k,l} &= \ker(D_\mu : C^{k,l}\to C^{k+1,l}), \quad \text{the } (k,l)\text{-cocycles}\\ B_\mu^{k,l} &= \mathrm{Im}(D_\mu : C^{k-1,l}\to C^{k,l}), \quad \text{the } (k,l)\text{-coboundaries}\\ H_\mu^{k,l} &= Z_\mu^{k,l}/B_\mu^{k,l}, \quad \text{the } D_u \ (k,l)\text{-cohomology}\end{aligned}$$

Then the compatibility condition means that $\lambda\in Z^{1,1}$. If we define $D_{\delta+\lambda}(\varphi)=[\delta+\lambda,\varphi]$, then it is not true that $D_{\delta+\lambda}^2=0$, but $D_{\delta+\lambda}D_\mu=-D_\mu D_{\delta+\lambda}$, so that $D_{\delta+\lambda}$ descends to a map $D_{\delta+\lambda}: H_\mu^{k,l}\to H_\mu^{k,l+1}$, whose square is zero, giving rise to the $D_{\delta+\lambda}$-cohomology $H_{\mu,\delta+\lambda}^{k,l}$. If the pair (λ,ψ) give rise to a codifferential d, and (λ,ψ') give rise to another codifferential d', then if we express $\psi'=\psi+\tau$, it is easy to see that $[\mu,\tau]=0$, and $[\delta+\lambda,\tau]=0$, so that the image $\bar\tau$ of τ in $H_\mu^{0,2}$ is a $D_{\delta+\lambda}$-cocycle, and thus τ determines an element $\{\bar\tau\}\in H_{\mu,\delta+\lambda}^{0,2}$.

If $\beta\in C^{0,1}$, then $g=\exp(\beta):\mathcal{T}(V)\to\mathcal{T}(V)$ is given by $g(m,w)=(m+\beta(w),w)$. Furthermore $g^*=\exp(-\operatorname{ad}_\beta):C(V)\to C(V)$ satisfies $g^*(d)=d'$, where $d'=\delta+\mu+\lambda'+\psi'$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda' &= \lambda+[\mu,\beta]\\ \psi' &= \psi+[\delta+\lambda+\tfrac{1}{2}[\mu,\beta],\beta],\end{aligned}$$

In this case, we say that d and d' are equivalent extensions in the restricted sense. Such equivalent extensions are also equivalent as codifferentials on $\mathcal{T}(V)$. Note that λ and λ' differ by a D_μ-coboundary, so $\bar\lambda=\bar\lambda'$ in $H_\mu^{1,1}$. If λ satisfies the MC-equation for some ψ, then any element λ' in $\bar\lambda$ also gives a solution of the MC equation, for the ψ' given above. The cohomology classes of those λ for which a solution of the MC equation exists determine distinct restricted equivalence classes of extensions.

Let $G_{M,W}=\mathbf{GL}(M)\times\mathbf{GL}(W)\subseteq\mathbf{GL}(V)$. If $g\in G_{M,W}$ then $g^*:C^{k,l}\to C^{k,l}$, and $g^*:C^k(W)\to C^k(W)$, so $\delta'=g^*(\delta)$ and $\mu'=g^*(\mu)$ are codifferentials on $\mathcal{T}(M)$ and $\mathcal{T}(W)$ respectively. The group $G_{\delta,\mu}$ is the subgroup of $G_{M,W}$ consisting of those elements g such that $g^*(\delta)=\delta$ and $g^*(\mu)=\mu$. Then $G_{\delta,\mu}$ acts on the restricted equivalence classes of extensions, giving the equivalence classes of general extensions. Also, $G_{\delta,\mu}$ acts on $H_\mu^{k,l}$, and induces an action on the classes $\bar\lambda$ of λ giving a solution (λ,ψ) to the MC equation.

Next, consider the group $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ consisting of the automorphisms h of V of the form $h=g\exp(\beta)$, where $g\in G_{\delta,\mu}$, $\beta\in C^{0,1}$ and $\lambda=g^*(\lambda)+[\mu,\beta]$. If $d=\delta+\mu+\lambda+\psi+\tau$, then $h^*(d)=\delta+\mu+\lambda+\psi+\tau'$ where

$$\tau'=g^*(\psi)-\psi+[\delta+\lambda-\tfrac{1}{2}[\mu,\beta],\beta]+g^*(\tau).$$

Moreover, the group $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ induces an action on $H_{\mu,\delta+\lambda}^{0,2}$ given by $\{\bar\tau\}\to\{\overline{\tau'}\}$. In fact, $\{\overline{g^*(\tau)}\}$ is well defined as well, and depends only on $\{\bar\tau\}$.

The general group of equivalences of extensions of the algebra structure δ on W by the algebra structure μ on M is given by the group of automorphisms of V of the form $h=\exp(\beta)g$, where $\beta\in C^{0,1}$ and $g\in G_{\delta,\mu}$. We have the following classification of such extensions up to equivalence.

Theorem 2.1. *The equivalence classes of extensions of δ on W by μ on M is classified by the following:*

(1) *Equivalence classes of $\bar{\lambda} \in H^{1,1}_{\mu}$ which satisfy the MC equation*

$$[\delta, \lambda] + \tfrac{1}{2}[\lambda, \lambda] + [\mu, \psi] = 0$$

for some $\psi \in C^{0,2}$, under the action of the group $G_{\delta,\mu}$.

(2) *Equivalence classes of $\{\bar{\tau}\} \in H^{0,2}_{\mu,\delta+\lambda}$ under the action of the group $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$.*

Equivalent extensions will give rise to equivalent codifferentials on V, but it may happen that two codifferentials arising from nonequivalent extensions are equivalent. This is because the group of equivalences of extensions is the group of invertible block upper triangular matrices on the space $V = M \oplus W$, whereas the equivalence classes of codifferentials on V are given by the group of all invertible matrices, which is larger.

The fundamental theorem of finite dimensional algebras allows us to restrict our consideration of extensions to two cases. First, we can consider those extensions where δ is a semisimple algebra structure on W, and μ is a nilpotent algebra structure on M. In this case, because we are working over $\mathbb{C}$, we can also assume that $\psi = \tau = 0$. Thus the classification of the extension reduces to considering equivalence classes of λ.

Secondly, we can consider extensions of the trivial algebra structure $\delta = 0$ on a 1-dimensional space W by a nilpotent algebra μ. This is because a nilpotent algebra has a codimension 1 ideal M, and the restriction of the algebra structure to M is nilpotent. However, in this case, we cannot assume that ψ or τ vanish, so we need to use the classification theorem above to determine the equivalence classes of extensions. In many cases, in solving the MC equation for a particular λ, if there is any ϕ yielding a solution, then $\psi = 0$ also gives a solution, so the action of $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ on $H^{0,2}_{\mu}$ takes on a simpler form than the general action we described above. In fact, if in addition to $\psi = 0$ providing a solution to the MC equation, any element $h = g\exp(\beta)$ satisfies $[\mu, \beta] = 0$, then the action of h on $H^{0,2}_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ is just the action $g^*(\{\bar{\tau}\}) = \{\overline{g^*(\tau)}\}$, which is easy to calculate in practice.

3. Associative algebra structures on a 1|2 vector space

Let A be a 1|2-dimensional vector space, and $V = \Pi A$ be the parity reversion of A, so that V is 2|1-dimensional. Let $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be a basis of V with v_1, v_2 even elements and v_3 an odd element, and let d be a codifferential on V representing an associative algebra structure on A.

By results in [1], there are only two $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded division algebras, the complex numbers, and a certain 1|1-dimensional algebra. As a consequence, there are no 1|2-dimensional simple algebras, so we can express V as an extension of an algebra structure δ on W by an algebra structure μ on M, where $V = M \oplus W$, and M is an ideal in V.

By the fundamental theorem of finite dimensional associative algebras, we can assume that μ is a nilpotent algebra structure on M. Moreover, δ is a semisimple algebra structure on W, unless d is a nilpotent algebra structure.

Since every nilpotent algebra has a codimension 1 ideal, if d is nilpotent, we can assume that W is 1-dimensional (either even or odd), and that $\delta = 0$. The only semisimple algebras we need to consider are the simple 1|1-dimensional algebra,

and the simple 0|1-dimensional algebra. Moreover, when considering extensions of a semisimple algebra, the "cocycle" ψ can be taken to be zero, because we are considering extensions over $\mathbb{C}$, for which every semisimple algebra is separable.

Now,suppose that $W = \langle v_{w(1)}, \cdots, v_{w(p)} \rangle$ where the first s vectors are even and the other $p-s$ elements are odd, and that $M = \langle v_{m(1)}, \cdots, v_{m(q)} \rangle$, where the first t elements are even and the other $q-t$ elements are odd. (This conforms to the principle that in a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded space, a basis should be listed with the even elements first.) Then a formula for an arbitrary $\lambda \in C^{1,1}$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda = &\sum_{|v_{w(k)}|=1} \psi_{m(i)}^{w(k)m(j)} (LE_k)_j^i + \psi_{m(i)}^{m(j)w(k)} (RE_k)_j^i \\ &+ \sum_{|v_{w(k)}|=0} \psi_{m(i)}^{w(k)m(j)} (LO_k)_j^i + \psi_{m(i)}^{m(j)w(k)} (RO_k)_j^i,\end{aligned}$$

where LE_k and RE_k are matrices of even maps $M \to M$, and LO_k and RO_k are matrices of odd maps $M \to M$. For simplicity, we shall denote $(LE_k)_J^i$ as LE_{kj}^i and similarly for the components of the other matrices. Let $L_k = LE_k + LO_k$ and $R_k = RE_k + RO_k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tfrac{1}{2}[\lambda,\lambda] = &\ \psi_{m(i)}^{w(k)w(l)m(j)} ((LO_k - LE_k)L_l))_j^i + \psi_{m(i)}^{m(j)w(k)w(l)} (R_l R_k)_j^i \\ &+ \psi_{w(i)}^{w(k)m(j)w(l)} (R_l L_k + (LO_k - LE_k)R_l).\end{aligned}$$

It is important to note that the formula above is given in terms of matrix multiplication. This is significant from the computational view as we shall illustrate below. It is interesting to note that matrices in $G_{M,W}$, which are block diagonal maps $\mathrm{diag}(G_1, G_2)$, where $G_1 \in \mathbf{GL}(M)$ and $G_2 \in \mathbf{GL}(W)$, act on λ in a manner which can be described in terms of the matrices above. First, G_1 acts by conjugating all the matrices L_k and R_k simultaneously. Secondly, the matrix G_2 acts on the k indices. We shall say more about these actions later.

Let us give one concrete application of the remarks above. Suppose that W is completely odd, and M is $r|s$-dimensional. Then we can express $M = \langle v_1, \cdots, v_{r+s} \rangle$ and $W = \langle v_{r+s+1}, \cdots, v_{r+s+n} \rangle$. In this case $L_k = LE_k$ and $R_k = RE_k$. We can express $R_k = \mathrm{diag}(T_k, B_k)$, where $T_k : M_0 \to M_0$ and $B_k : M_1 \to M_1$ and $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ represents the decomposition of M into its even and odd parts. Then we have

$$\tfrac{1}{2}[\lambda,\lambda] = -\psi_i^{klj} (L_k L_l)_j^i + \psi_i^{kjl} (R_l L_k - L_k R_l)_j^i + \psi_i^{jkl} (R_l R_k)_j^i.$$

Let $\delta = \sum_{k=r+s+1}^{r+s+n} \psi_k^{kk}$ be the codifferential semisimple algebra $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then

$$[\delta, \lambda] = \psi_i^{kkj} (L_k)_j^i + (-1)^j \psi_i^{jkk} (R_k)_j^i.$$

When considering an extension of the algebra $\mathbb{C}^n$ by an algebra structure on M, we can assume that the cocycle "ψ" vanishes, so the MC equation is just $[\delta,\lambda] + \frac{1}{2}[\lambda,\lambda] = 0$, which is equivalent to the following:

$$\begin{gathered} L_k = L_k^2, \quad L_k L_l = 0, \text{ if } k \neq l \\ L_k R_l = R_l L_k \\ T_k = -T_k^2, \quad B_k = B_k^2, \quad R_k R_l = 0, \text{ if } k \neq l. \end{gathered}$$

As a consequence, the matrices above give a commuting set of diagonalizable matrices, so they can be simultaneously diagonalized. Moreover, L_k and B_k have only 0 and 1 as possible eigenvalues and T_k has only 0 and -1 as possible eigenvalues. If we consider $\mu = 0$ as the algebra structure on M, then the elements $\mathrm{diag}(G_1, G_2) \in G_{\delta,\mu}$ are given by an arbitrary matrix G_1 and G_2 is just a permutation matrix.

Thus we can apply an element $G \in G_{\delta,\mu}$ to λ to put it in the form where all the matrices are diagonal, and are ordered in such a manner that the nonzero L_k matrices appear first. Moreover, since the L_k matrices are orthogonal to each other, there are at most $m = r + s$ nonzero L matrices.

Similar considerations apply to the R matrices, so that in total, there can be no more than $2m$ pairs (L_k, R_k), such that at least one matrix does not vanish. Therefore, when $n \geq 2m$, the number of distinct equivalence classes of extensions of $\mathbb{C}^n$ by a trivial algebra structure on M is exactly equal to $2m$.

We say that this is the stable situation. The number of extensions is independent of n as long as it is at least $2m$. Moreover, the cohomology and deformation theory also becomes stable, in a natural way. When $\mu \neq 0$, the situation is a bit more complicated, but there is also an n beyond which the situation becomes stable.

We now give a construction of the elements in the moduli space of $2|1$-dimensional codifferentials. Table 3 below gives the cohomology of the 21 nonequivalent codifferentials.

4. Extensions where W is $1|1$-dimensional and M is $1|0$-dimensional

Let $W = \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle$ and $M = \langle v_1 \rangle$. The unique $1|1$-dimensional simple algebra is given by the codifferential $\delta = \psi_2^{23} - \psi_2^{32} + \psi_3^{22} - \psi_3^{33}$. The only algebra structure on M is the trivial algebra $\mu = 0$. The generic lambda is of the form $\lambda = \psi_1^{31} L E_{21}^1 + \psi_1^{13} R E_{21}^1$. However,

$$\begin{aligned}[\delta, \lambda] &= \varphi_1^{221} L E_{21}^1 - \varphi_1^{122} R E_{21}^1 - \varphi_1^{331} L E_{21}^1 - \varphi_1^{133} R E_{21}^1 \\ \tfrac{1}{2}[\lambda, \lambda] &= -\varphi_1^{331} (L E_{21}^1)^2 + \varphi_1^{133} (R E_{21}^1)^2\end{aligned}$$

so the MC equation forces $\lambda = 0$. Therefore, the unique extension of δ is the direct sum of δ and the trivial 1-dimensional algebra, which is the codifferential d_1.

5. Extensions where M is $2|0$-dimensional and W is $0|1$-dimensional

Let $M = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ be $2|0$-dimensional and $W = \langle v_3 \rangle$ be $0|1$-dimensional. The group $G_{M,W}$ consists of diagonal matrices $G = \mathrm{diag}(r, s, t)$, with $rst \neq 0$. A generic element of $C^{1,1}$ is of the form

$$\lambda = \psi_1^{31} a L_1^1 + \psi_2^{31} L_2^1 + \psi_1^{32} L_2^1 + \psi_2^{32} L_2^2 \psi_1^{13} a R_1^1 + \psi_2^{13} R_2^1 + \psi_1^{23} R_2^1 + \psi_2^{23} R_2^2$$

corresponding to the matrices

$$L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1^1 & L_2^1 \\ L_1^2 & L_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^1 & R_2^1 \\ R_1^2 & R_2^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5.0.1. *Extensions of the simple $0|1$-dimensional algebra.* In this case $\delta = \psi_3^{33}$. From the MC-equation, we obtain that

$$L^2 = L, \quad RL = LR, \quad R^2 = -R,$$

Codifferential	H^0	H^1	H^2	H^3	H^4
$d_1 = \psi_2^{23} - \psi_2^{32} + \psi_3^{22} - \psi_3^{33}$	1|1	1|0	1|0	1|0	1|0
$d_2 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} + \psi_2^{32}$	0|0	3|0	0|0	0|0	0|0
$d_3 = \psi_3^{33} - \psi_1^{13} - \psi_2^{23}$	0|0	3|0	0|0	0|0	0|0
$d_4 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} - \psi_2^{23}$	0|0	1|0	0|0	1|0	0|0
$d_5 = \psi_3^{33} - \psi_1^{13} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$	1|0	1|0	1|0	1|0	1|0
$d_6 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$	1|0	1|0	1|0	1|0	1|0
$d_7 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_2^{32}$	1|0	1|0	2|0	2|0	2|0
$d_8 = \psi_3^{33} - \psi_2^{23}$	1|0	1|0	2|0	2|0	2|0
$d_9 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} - \psi_1^{13} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$	3|0	4|0	6|0	12|0	24|0
$d_{10} = \psi_3^{33}$	3|0	4|0	8|0	16|0	32|0
$d_{11} = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$	2|1	2|1	2|1	2|1	2|1
$d_{12} = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_1^{23} - \psi_1^{32}$	1|1	2|0	1|1	2|0	1|1
$d_{13}(p:q) = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_3^{21}p + \psi_3^{12}q$	0|1	2|0	2|1	3|0	4|0
$d_{13}(1:1) = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_3^{21} + \psi_3^{12}$	0|1	2|0	2|1	5|0	4|2
$d_{13}(1:-1) = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_3^{21} - \psi_3^{12}$	1|1	2|1	3|1	4|1	5|1
$d_{13}(1:0) = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_3^{21}$	1|0	2|0	4|1	6|2	8|3
$d_{13}(0:0) = \psi_3^{22}$	1|1	3|1	5|4	10|7	18|14
$d_{14} = \psi_3^{21} - \psi_3^{12}$	2|1	4|2	5|4	8|4	10|5
$d_{15}(p:q) = \psi_1^{23}p + \psi_1^{32}q$	1|0	2|0	1|2	2|1	2|2
$d_{15}(1:1) = \psi_1^{23} + \psi_1^{32}$	1|0	2|1	2|2	4|2	3|4
$d_{15}(1:0) = \psi_1^{23}$	1|0	2|0	2|3	5|3	5|6
$d_{15}(0:1) = \psi_1^{32}$	1|0	2|0	2|3	5|3	5|6
$d_{15}(1:-1) = \psi_1^{23} - \psi_1^{32}$	2|1	3|2	4|3	5|4	6|5

TABLE 1. Cohomology of the 15 families of codifferentials on a 2|1-dimensional space

so that L is a diagonalizable matrix with eigenvalues 1 and 0, and R is diagonalizable with eigenvalues -1 and 0. Thus R and L can be simultaneously diagonalized, which means that we have the following solutions. If $L = I$ or $L = 0$, then R can be taken to be either $-I$, $\mathrm{diag}(-1, 0)$ or 0. Otherwise $L = \mathrm{diag}(1, 0)$ and R is either I, $\mathrm{diag}(-1, 0)$, $\mathrm{diag}(0, -1)$, or 0. These 10 possibilities correspond to the codifferentials $d_2, \ldots d_{11}$.

5.0.2. *Extensions of the trivial* 0|1*-dimensional algebra.* . In this case, $\delta = 0$ and $\mu = 0$. Then $G_{\delta,\mu} = G_{M,W}$. The MC-equation yields $L^2 = 0$, $LR = RL$ and $R^2 = 0$. The eigenvalues of the matrices R and L are only 0, and since these matrices commute, they can put in simultaneous upper triangular form. Thus we can express $\lambda = \psi_2^{32}p + \psi_2^{23}q$, which gives a family of nonequivalent codifferentials, $d_{15}(p:q)$. This family is parameterized projectively by elements $(p:q) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$.

6. Extensions where M is 1|1-dimensional and W is 1|0-dimensional

We have $M = \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle$ and $W = \langle v_2 \rangle$. The group $G_{M,W}$ consists of matrices of the form $G = \mathrm{diag}(r, s, t)$, where $rst \neq 0$. The only codifferential on W is $\delta = 0$. A

generic element in $C^{1,1}$ is

$$\lambda = \psi_1^{23} LO_2^1 + \psi_3^{21} LO_1^2 + \psi_1^{32} RO_2^1 + \psi_3^{12} RO_1^2,$$

corresponding to the matrices

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & LO_2^1 \\ LO_1^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & RO_2^1 \\ RO_1^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}]$$

Moreover a generic element in $C^{0,2}$ is of the form $\tau = \psi_3^{22} c$ and a generic element of $C^{0,1}$ is of the form $\beta = \varphi_1^2 b$. There are two possibilities for μ, the trivial codifferential and $\mu = \psi_2^{11}$.

In this case, it is more convenient to consider the trivial case $\mu = 0$ first. Then the MC equation yields that either $LO_1^2 = RO_1^2 = 0$ or $LO_2^1 = RO_2^1 = 0$.

Let us consider the first case. Let $LO_2^1 = p$ and $RO_2^1 = q$. Then $\lambda = \psi_1^{23} p + \psi_1^{32} q$. Now $[\delta + \lambda, \tau] = \varphi_1^{222}(p+q)c$, so unless $p = -q$, we can assume that $\tau = 0$. Therefore, unless $p = -q$, we have $d = \psi_1^{23} p + \psi_1^{32} q$, which is just the codifferential $d_{15}(p : q)$ we already encountered. We also note that the action of $G_{\delta,\mu}$ on λ is to multiply it by a nonzero constant, so that λ can be parameterized projectively. Thus if $p = -q$, we can assume that either $p = 1$ and $q = -1$ or $p = q = 0$. In the either case, the action of $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ on τ multiplies it by a constant, so we can assume that $\tau = \psi_3^{22}$, since the case $\tau = 0$ is generic. This gives the codifferential d_{12} when $\lambda = \psi_1^{23} - \psi_1^{32}$, and the codifferential $d_{13}(0 : 0)$ when $\lambda = 0$.

In the second case, we can take $\lambda = \psi_3^{21} p + \psi_3^{12} q$. Then $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ consists of those transformations of the form $h = g \exp(\beta)$ where $\beta = \varphi_1^2 b$ and g is given by a matrix $G = \mathrm{diag}(r, s, rs)$. Note that $[\delta + \lambda, \beta] = \psi_3^{22}(p + q)b$, which means that all values of c produce an equivalent codifferential unless $p = -q$.

It might seem that this would dictate choosing $c = 0$ in the generic case, but this actually is not what deformation theory requires. The reason is that in the special cases where $p = -q$, for all values of c except $c = 0$ we obtain an equivalent codifferential, so the codifferential arising from taking $c = 0$ jumps to the one arising from taking $c = 1$. Therefore, the case $c = 1$ is generic.

In the generic case, we take $c = 1$ and we obtain the codifferential $d_{13}(p : q)$, which is parameterized projectively by $(p : q) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. In the case where $p = 1$ and $q = -1$ and $\tau = 0$, we obtain the codifferential d_{14}, and when $p = q = 0$ and $\tau = 0$, we obtain the zero codifferential.

Now, consider the nontrivial case $\mu = \psi_3^{11}$. Then $G_{\delta,\mu}$ is given by matrices of the form $\mathrm{diag}(r, s, s^2)$ such that $rs \neq 0$. The compatibility condition $[\mu, \lambda] = 0$ yields $LO_1^2 = 0$ and $RO_1^2 = 0$, and $[\mu, \beta] = \psi_3^{21} b + \psi_3^{12} b$, so we can assume that $RO_2^1 = 0$ as well. Taking in to account the action of the group $G_{\delta,\mu}$, we can reduce to the cases $\lambda = \psi_3^{21}$ or $\lambda = 0$.

If $\lambda = \psi_3^{21}$, then the action of $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ on τ leaves it unchanged, so we have to consider all values of c. It turns out that the codifferentials we obtain are equivalent to $d_{13}(-1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c} : 1 + \sqrt{1 - 4c})$. This complicated formula is why it was more convenient to study the case $\mu = 0$ first.

If $\lambda = 0$, then the action of $G_{\delta,\mu,\lambda}$ on τ multiplies it by a nonzero constant, so we can consider the case $c_1 = 0$, which gives a codifferential equivalent to $d_{13}(0 : 0)$, or $c_1 = 1$, which gives the codifferential $d_{13}(1 : 1)$.

Thus we have completed the classification of the elements in the moduli space of associative algebra structures on a space V of dimension $1|2$, or, in other words, the codifferentials on a $2|1$-dimensional space.

7. Hochschild Cohomology and Deformations

Hochschild cohomology was introduced in [10], and used to classify infinitesimal deformations of associative algebras. Suppose that

$$m_t = m + t\varphi,$$

is an infinitesimal deformation of m. By this we mean that the structure m_t is associative up to first order. From an algebraic point of view, this means that we assume that $t^2 = 0$, and then check whether associativity holds. It is not difficult to show that is equivalent to the following.

$$a\varphi(b,c) - \varphi(ab,c) + \varphi(a,bc) - \varphi(a,b)c = 0,$$

where, for simplicity, we denote $m(a,b) = ab$. Moreover, if we let

$$g_t = I + t\lambda$$

be an infinitesimal automorphism of A, where $\lambda \in \mathrm{Hom}(A,A)$, then it is easily checked that

$$g_t^*(m)(a,b) = ab + t(a\lambda(b) - \lambda(ab) + \lambda(a)b).$$

This naturally leads to a definition of the Hochschild coboundary operator D on $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}(A),A)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\varphi)(a_0,\cdots,a_n) =& a_0\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_n) + (-1)^{n+1}\varphi(a_0,\cdots,a_{n-1})a_n \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{i+1}\varphi(a_0,\cdots,a_{i-1},a_ia_{i+1},a_{i+2},\cdots,a_n). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $C^n(A) = \mathrm{Hom}(A^n,A)$, then $D : C^n(A) \to C^{n+1}(A)$. One obtains the following classification theorem for infinitesimal deformations.

Theorem 7.1. *The equivalence classes of infinitesimal deformations m_t of an associative algebra structure m under the action of the group of infinitesimal automorphisms on the set of infinitesimal deformations are classified by the Hochschild cohomology group*

$$H^2(m) = \ker(D : C^2(A) \to C^3(A))/\operatorname{Im}(D : C^1(A) \to C^2(A)).$$

When A is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded, the only modifications that are necessary are that φ and λ are required to be even maps, so we obtain that the classification is given by $H^2_e(A)$, the even part of the Hochschild cohomology.

We wish to transform this classical viewpoint into the more modern viewpoint of associative algebras as being given by codifferentials on a certain coalgebra. To do this, we first introduce the *parity reversion* ΠA of a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space A. If $A = A_e \oplus A_o$ is the decomposition of A into its even and odd parts, then $W = \Pi A$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space given by $W_e = A_o$ and $W_o = A_e$. In other words, W is just the space A with the parity of elements reversed.

Denote the tensor (co)-algebra of W by $\mathcal{T}(W) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} W^k$, where W^k is the k-th tensor power of W and $W^0 = \mathbb{K}$. For brevity, the element in W^k given by the

tensor product of the elements v_i in W will be denoted by $v_1 \cdots v_k$. The coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{T}(W)$ is given by

$$\Delta(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} v_1 \cdots v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots v_n.$$

Define $d : W^2 \to W$ by $d = \pi \circ m \circ (\pi^{-1} \otimes \pi^{-1})$, where $\pi : A \to W$ is the identity map, which is odd, because it reverses the parity of elements. Note that d is an odd map. The space $C(W) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{T}(W), W)$ is naturally identifiable with the space of coderivations of $\mathcal{T}(W)$. In fact, if $\varphi \in C^k(W) = \mathrm{Hom}(W^k, W)$, then φ is extended to a coderivation of $\mathcal{T}(W)$ by

$$\varphi(v_1 \cdots v_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} (-1)^{(v_1+\cdots+v_i)\varphi} v_1 \cdots v_i \varphi(v_{i+1} \cdots v_{i+k}) v_{i+k+1} \cdots v_n.$$

The space of coderivations of $\mathcal{T}(W)$ is equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Lie algebra structure given by

$$[\varphi, \psi] = \varphi \circ \psi - (-1)^{\varphi\psi} \psi \circ \varphi.$$

The reason that it is more convenient to work with the structure d on W rather than m on A is that the condition of associativity for m translates into the codifferential property $[d, d] = 0$. Moreover, the Hochschild coboundary operation translates into the coboundary operator D on $C(W)$, given by

$$D(\varphi) = [d, \varphi].$$

This point of view on Hochschild cohomology first appeared in [16]. The fact that the space of Hochschild cochains is equipped with a graded Lie algebra structure was noticed much earlier [5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

For notational purposes, we introduce a basis of $C^n(W)$ as follows. Suppose that $W = \langle v_1, \cdots, v_m \rangle$. Then if $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_n)$ is a *multi-index*, where $1 \leq i_k \leq m$, denote $v_I = v_{i_1} \cdots v_{i_n}$. Define $\varphi_i^I \in C^n(W)$ by

$$\varphi_i^I(v_J) = \delta_J^I v_i,$$

where δ_J^I is the Kronecker delta symbol. In order to emphasize the parity of the element, we will denote φ_i^I by ψ_i^I when it is an odd coderivation.

For a multi-index $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_k)$, denote its *length* by $\ell(I) = k$. If K and L are multi-indices, then denote $KL = (k_1, \cdots, k_{\ell(K)}, l_l, \cdots, l_{\ell(L)})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_i^I \circ \varphi_j^J)(v_K) &= \sum_{K_1K_2K_3=K} (-1)^{v_{K_1}\varphi_j^J} \varphi_i^I(v_{K_1}, \varphi_j^J(v_{K_2}), v_{K_3}) \\ &= \sum_{K_1K_2K_3=K} (-1)^{v_{K_1}\varphi_j^J} \delta^I_{K_1 j K_3} \delta^J_{K_2} v_i,\end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\varphi_i^I \circ \varphi_j^J = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell(I)} (-1)^{(v_{i_1}+\cdots+v_{i_{k-1}})\varphi_j^J} \delta_j^k \varphi_i^{(I,J,k)}, \tag{1}$$

where (I, J, k) is given by inserting J into I in place of the k-th element of I; *i.e.*, $(I, J, k) = (i_1, \cdots, i_{k-1}, j_1, \cdots, j_{\ell(J)}, i_{k+1}, \cdots, i_{\ell(I)})$.

Let us recast the notion of an infinitesimal deformation in terms of the language of coderivations. We say that

$$d_t = d + t\psi$$

is a deformation of the codifferential d precisely when $[d_t, d_t] = 0 \mod t^2$. This condition immediately reduces to the cocycle condition $D(\psi) = 0$. Note that we require d_t to be odd, so that ψ must be an odd coderivation. One can introduce a more general idea of parameters, allowing both even and odd parameters, in which case even coderivations play an equal role, but we will not adopt that point of view in this paper.

For associative algebras, we require that d and ψ lie in $\mathrm{Hom}(W^2, W)$. This notion naturally generalizes to considering d simply to be an arbitrary odd codifferential, in which case we would obtain an A_∞ algebra, a natural generalization of an associative algebra.

More generally, we need the notion of a versal deformation, in order to understand how the moduli space is glued together. To explain versal deformations we introduce the notion of a deformation with a local base. A local base A is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded commutative, unital $\mathbb{K}$-algebra with an augmentation $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{K}$, whose kernel $\mathfrak{m}$ is the unique maximal ideal in A, so that A is a local ring. It follows that A has a unique decomposition $A = \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ and ϵ is just the projection onto the first factor. Let $W_A = W \otimes A$ equipped with the usual structure of a right A-module. Let $T_A(W_A)$ be the tensor algebra of W_A over A, that is $T_A(W_A) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} T_A^k(W_A)$ where $T_A^0(W_A) = A$ and $T_A^{k+1}(W_A) = T^k(W_A)_A \otimes_A W_A$. It is a standard fact that $T_A^k(W_A) = T^k(W) \otimes A$ in a natural manner, and thus $T_A(W_A) = T(W) \otimes A$.

Any A-linear map $f : T_A(W) \to T_A(W)$ is induced by its restriction to $T(W) \otimes \mathbb{K} = T(W)$ so we can view an A-linear coderivation δ_A on $T_A(W_A)$ as a map $\delta_A : T(W) \to T(W) \otimes A$. A morphism $f : A \to B$ induces a map

$$f_* : \mathrm{Coder}_A(T_A(W_A)) \to \mathrm{Coder}_B(T_B(W_B))$$

given by $f_*(\delta_A) = (1 \otimes f)\delta_A$, moreover if δ_A is a codifferential then so is $f_*(A)$. A codifferential d_A on $T_A(W_A)$ is said to be a deformation of the codifferential d on $T(W)$ if $\epsilon_*(d_A) = d$.

If d_A is a deformation of d with base A then we can express

$$d_A = d + \varphi$$

where $\varphi : T(W) \to T(W) \otimes \mathfrak{m}$. The condition for d_A to be a codifferential is the Maurer-Cartan equation,

$$D(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2}[\varphi, \varphi] = 0$$

If $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ we say that A is an infinitesimal algebra and a deformation with base A is called infinitesimal.

A typical example of an infinitesimal base is $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$, moreover, the classical notion of an infinitesimal deformation

$$d_t = d + t\varphi$$

is precisely an infinitesimal deformation with base $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^2)$.

A local algebra A is complete if

$$A = \varprojlim_k A/\mathfrak{m}^k$$

A complete, local augmented $\mathbb{K}$-algebra will be called formal and a deformation with a formal base is called a formal deformation. An infinitesimal base is automatically formal, so every infinitesimal deformation is a formal deformation.

An example of a formal base is $A = \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ and a deformation of d with base A can be expressed in the form

$$d_t = d + t\psi_1 + t^2\psi_2 + \dots$$

This is the classical notion of a formal deformation. It is easy to see that the condition for d_t to be a formal deformation reduces to

$$D(\psi_{n+1}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}[\psi_k, \psi_{n+1-k}]$$

An automorphism of W_A over A is an A-linear isomorphism $g_A : W_A \to W_A$ making the diagram below commute. The map g_A is induced by its restriction to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_A & \xrightarrow{g_A} & W_A \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \epsilon_*} \\ W & \xrightarrow{I} & W \end{array}$$

$T(W) \otimes \mathbb{K}$ so we can view g_A as a map

$$g_A : T(W) \to T(W) \otimes A$$

so we ca express g_A in the form

$$g_A = I + \lambda$$

where $\lambda : T(W) \to T(W) \otimes \mathfrak{m}$. If A is infinitesimal then $g_A^{-1} = I - \lambda$.

Two deformations d_A and d'_A are said to be equivalent over A if there is an automorphism g_A of W_A over A such that $g_A^*(d_A) = d'_A$. In this case we write $d'_A \sim d_A$.

An infinitesimal deformation d_A with base A is called universal if whenever d_B is an infinitesimal deformation with base B, there is a unique morphism $f : A \to B$ such that $f_*(d_A) \sim d_B$.

Theorem 7.2. *If* $\dim H^2_{odd}(d) < \infty$ *then there is a universal infinitesimal deformation* d^∞ *of* d*. Given by*

$$d^\infty = d + \delta^i t_i$$

where $H^2_{odd}(d) = \langle \bar{\delta^i} \rangle$ *and* $A = \mathbb{K}[t_i]/(t_i t_j)$ *is the base of deformation.*

A formal deformation d_A with base A is called versal if given any formal deformation of d_B with base B there is a morphism $f : A \to B$ such that $f_*(d_A) \sim d_B$. Notice that the difference between the versal and the universal property of infinitesimal deformations is that f need not be unique. A versal deformation is called *miniversal* if f is unique whenever B is infinitesimal. The basic result about versal deformation is:

Theorem 7.3. *If* $\dim H^2_{odd}(d) < \infty$ *then a miniversal deformation of* d *exists.*

In this paper we will only need the following result to compute the versal deformations.

Theorem 7.4. *Suppose* $H^2_{odd}(d) = \langle \bar{\delta^i} \rangle$ *and* $[\delta^i, \delta^j] = 0$ *for all* i, j *then the infinitesimal deformation*

$$d^\infty = d + \delta^i t_i$$

is miniversal, with base $A = \mathbb{K}[[t_i]]$.

The construction of the moduli space as a geometric object is based on the idea that codifferentials which can be obtained by deformations with small parameters are "close" to each other. From the small deformations, we can construct 1-parameter families or even multi-parameter families, which are defined for small values of the parameters, except possibly when the parameters vanish.

If d_t is a one parameter family of deformations, then two things can occur. First, it may happen that d_t is equivalent to a certain codifferential d' for every small value of t except zero. Then we say that d_t is a jump deformation from d to d'. It will never occur that d' is equivalent to d, so there are no jump deformations from a codifferential to itself. Otherwise, the codifferentials d_t will all be nonequivalent if t is small enough. In this case, we say that d_t is a smooth deformation.

In [4], it was proved for Lie algebras that given three codifferentials d, d' and d'', if there are jump deformations from d to d' and from d' to d'', then there is a jump deformation from d to d''. The proof of the corresponding statement for associative algebras is essentially the same.

Similarly, if there is a jump deformation from d to d', and a family of smooth deformations d'_t, then there is a family d_t of smooth deformations of d, such that every deformation in the image of d'_t lies in the image of d_t, for sufficiently small values of t. In this case, we say that the smooth deformation of d factors through the jump deformation to d'.

In the examples of complex moduli spaces of Lie and associative algebras which we have studied, it turns out that there is a natural stratification of the moduli space of n-dimensional algebras by orbifolds, where the codifferentials on a given strata are connected by smooth deformations, which don't factor through jump deformations. These smooth deformations determine the local neighborhood structure.

The strata are connected by jump deformations, in the sense that any smooth deformation from a codifferential on one strata to another strata factors through a jump deformation. Moreover, all of the strata are given by projective orbifolds. In fact, in all the complex examples we have studied, the orbifolds either are single points, or $\mathbb{CP}^n$ quotiented out by either Σ_{n+1} or a subgroup, acting on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ by permuting the coordinates.

We don't have a concrete proof at this time, but we conjecture that this pattern holds in general. In other words, we believe the following conjecture.

Conjecture 7.5 (Fialowski-Penkava)**.** *The moduli space of Lie or associative algebras of a fixed finite dimension n are stratified by projective orbifolds, with jump deformations and smooth deformations factoring through jump deformations providing the only deformations between the strata.*

8. Deformations of the elements in the moduli space

8.1. $d_1 = \psi_2^{23} - \psi_2^{32} + \psi_3^{22} - \psi_3^{33}$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This is the direct sum of the $1|1$-dimensional complex simple algebra and the trivial $1|0$-dimensional algebra. This algebra is not unital. Its center is spanned by $\{v_1, v_3\}$. We have $H^n = \langle \varphi_1^{1^n} \rangle$ for $n > 0$. Since H^2 has no odd elements, the algebra is rigid.

8.2. $d_2 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} + \psi_2^{32}$. The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the first of a family of rigid extensions of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebras. We have $H^1 - \langle \varphi_2^2, \varphi_1^2, \varphi_2^1 \rangle$, and $H^n = 0$ otherwise. Its opposite algebra is d_3.

8.3. $d_3 = \psi_3^{33} - \psi_1^{13} - \psi_2^{23}$. The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the second of a family of rigid extensions of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebras. We have $H^1 = \langle \varphi_2^2, \varphi_1^2, \varphi_2^1 \rangle$, and $H^n = 0$ otherwise. Its opposite algebra is d_3.

8.4. $d_4 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} - \psi_2^{23}$. The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the third of a family of rigid extensions of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebras. We have $h^n = 1|0$ if n is odd and $h^n = 0|0$ otherwise. The algebra is isomorphic to its opposite algebra.

8.5. $d_5 = \psi_3^{33} - \psi_1^{13} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$. The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the fourth of a family of rigid extensions of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebras. We have $H^n = \langle \varphi_2^{2^n} \rangle$ for all n. Its opposite algebra is d_6.

8.6. $d_6 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the fifth of a family of rigid extensions of the 0|1-dimensional simple algebras. We have $H^n = \langle \varphi_2^{2^n} \rangle$ for all n. Its opposite algebra is d_5.

8.7. $d_7 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_2^{32}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

This algebra is the fifth of a family of rigid extensions of the 0|1-dimensional simple algebras. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0 &= \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \\ H^1 &= \langle \varphi_1^1 \rangle \\ H^n &= \langle \varphi_1^{1^n}, \varphi_2^{21^{n-1}} \rangle, \text{ if } n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h^2 = 2|0$, there are no odd elements in H^2, so this algebra is rigid. Its opposite algebra is d_8.

8.8. $d_8 == \psi_3^{33} - \psi_2^{23}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is the sixth of a family of rigid extensions of the 0|1-dimensional simple algebras. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0 &= \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \\ H^1 &= \langle \varphi_1^1 \rangle \\ H^n &= \langle \varphi_1^{1^n}, \varphi_2^{1^{n-1}2} \rangle, \text{ if } n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $h^2 = 2|0$, there are no odd elements in H^2, so this algebra is rigid. Its opposite algebra is d_7.

8.9. $d_9 = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_1^{31} - \psi_1^{13} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is the seventh of a family of rigid extensions of the 0|1-dimensional simple algebras. It is both unital and commutative. Since $h^2 = 6|0$, there are no odd elements in H^2, so this algebra is rigid.

8.10. $d_{10} = \psi_3^{33}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is the direct sum of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebra $\mathbb{C}$ and the trivial nilpotent $2|0$-dimensional algebra. The algebra is not unital but is commutative. Since $h^2 = 8|0$, the algebra is rigid. In fact, except for H^0, the cohomology is the subspace of all cochains in $C(M)$. so $h^n = 2^{n+1}|0$ for $n > 0$.

8.11. $d_{11} = \psi_3^{33} + \psi_2^{32} - \psi_2^{23}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is a extension of the $0|1$-dimensional simple algebra $\mathbb{C}$ by the trivial $2|0$-dimensional algebra. The algebra is not unital but is commutative. Its opposite algebra is d_{10}. We have $H^n = \langle \varphi_1^{1^n}, \varphi_2^{2^n}, \psi_3^{2^n} \rangle$ for all n. The versal deformation is given by $d^\infty = d + \psi_3^{22} t$, which is a jump deformation to d_1.

8.12. $d_{12} = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_1^{23} - \psi_1^{32}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is an extension of the $1|1$-dimensional nilpotent algebra $\delta = \psi_3^{22}$ by the trivial $1|0$-dimensional algebra $\mu = 0$. As is true for all nilpotent algebras, it is not unital. Its center is spanned by $\{v_1, v_3\}$. We have $h^n = 2|0$ when n is odd and $h^n = 1|1$ when n is even. The versal deformation is given by

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_1^{31} t - \psi_1^{13} t + \psi_3^{11} t^2 - \psi_3^{12} t = \psi_3^{21} t + \psi_3^{33} t,$$

which is a jump deformation to d_1.

8.13. $d_{13} = \psi_3^{22} + \psi_3^{21} p + \psi_3^{12} q$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & p & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is a family of nilpotent extensions of the $1|1$-dimensional nilpotent algebra $\delta = \psi_3^{22}$ by the trivial $1|0$-dimensional algebra. The family is projectively parameterized by $(p : q) \in \mathbb{CP}^1/\Sigma_2$, where the action of Σ_2 on $\mathbb{CP}^1$ is given by permuting the coordinates. Thus $d_{13}(p : q) \sim d_{13}(q : p)$. The center of this algebra is spanned by $\{v_3\}$. The special points $(1 : 0)$, $(1 : 1)$ $(1 : -1)$ and $(0 : 0)$ have different cohomology than the generic pattern.

Generically $h^2 = 2|1$ and the versal deformation is given by $d^\infty = d_{13}(p + t : q)$, which is a smooth deformation along the family. For the special point $(1 : 1)$, $h^2 = 2|1$, and the generic formula for the versal deformation holds, so it is not special in terms of its deformations. For the special point $(1 : 0)$, $h^2 = 4|1$ and the versal

deformation is given by $d^\infty = d + \psi_3^{11} t$, which is equivalent to $d_{13}(1 + \sqrt{1-4t} : 2t)$. For the special point $(1 : -1)$, $h^2 = 3|1$ the versal deformation is given by the same formula as for $(1 : 0)$, but this time it is equivalent to $d_{13}(1 + t : -1 + t + \sqrt{-t})$.

Finally, the generic point $d_{13}(0 : 0)$ has a more interesting deformation theory. We have $h^2 = 5|4$, and $h^3 = 10|7$, so it is not surprising that there are relations on the base of the versal deformation. Its versal deformation has matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -t_1 & t_1 t_3 & t_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -t_2 t_3 & -t_2 + t_1 t_3 & t_1 t_4 + t_2 t_3 - 2\, {t_3}^2 t_1 & t_2 - 2\, t_1 t_3 & 0 \\ t_4 & 0 & t_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The third order deformation is versal, and there are 9 nontrivial relations

$$\begin{aligned} t_1 t_4 - t_2 t_3 = 0, \quad t_1(2t_3^2 - t_4) = 0, \quad t_1 t_3 = 0 \\ (2t_1 t_3 - t_2)(t_4 - t_3^2) = 0, \quad t_1(t_4 - t_3) = 0, \quad t_1 t_4 = 0 \\ t_1 t_3 = 0, \quad t_1 t_3 t_4 = 0, \quad t_1 t_4 + t_2 t_3 - 2 t_1 t_3^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solutions to these relations are

$$t_3 = t_4 = 0, \quad t_1 = t_2 = 0,$$

which means the base of the versal deformation is given by two planes that intersect transversally at the origin. The first solution gives the codifferential

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_1^{32} t_1 - \psi_1^{23} t_1 + \psi_2^{32} t_2 - \psi_2^{23} t_2 + \psi_3^{33} t_2,$$

which is equivalent to d_1 except on the line $t_2 = 0$, where it jumps to d_{12}. The second solution gives the codifferential

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_3^{21} t_3 + \psi_3^{11} t_4,$$

which gives jump deformations to $d_{13}(p : q)$ for all $(p : q)$ except $(0 : 0)$. This behaviour is consistent with the behaviour of the generic point $(0 : 0)$ in $\mathbb{CP}^1$, which is dense in that space.

8.14. $d_{14} = \psi_3^{21} - \psi_3^{12}$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This algebra is an extension of the $0|1$-dimensional trivial algebra $\mathbb{C}$ by the trivial $2|0$-dimensional algebra. The algebra is commutative. We have $h^2 = 6|3$ and $h^3 = 8|4$, so one might expect there to be relations on the base of the versal deformation, but in this case there aren't any relations; moreover the infinitesimal deformation is versal. We have

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_3^{21} t_1 + \psi_3^{22} t_2 + \psi_3^{11} t_3.$$

This gives jump deformations to $d_{13}(p : q)$ for all values of $(p : q)$ except $(1 : 1)$ and $(0 : 0)$

8.15. $d_{15} = \psi_1^{23}p + \psi_1^{32}q$**.** The matrix of this codifferential is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p & 0 & q & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This family of algebras are extensions of the trivial $0|1$-dimensional algebra $\mathbb{C}$ by the trivial $2|0$-dimensional algebra. They can also be considered as extensions of the trivial $1|0$-dimensional algebra by the trivial $1|1$-dimensional algebra. The family is parameterized projectively by $(p : q) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$. However, in this case, unlike the $d_{13}(p : q)$ case, there is no action of the group Σ_2. In other words, $d_{15}(p : q)$ is not equivalent to $d_{15}(q : p)$ in general.

The algebra is not commutative unless $p = -q$; generically its center is spanned by $\{v_1\}$. For the special points $(0 : 1)$, $(1 : 0)$, $(1 : 1)$ and $(1 : -1)$, the cohomology and deformation theory is not generic. In the generic case, we have $h^2 = 1|2$ and $h^3 = 2|1$, The matrix of the versal deformation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & \frac{t_1(p-q-t_2)}{2(q+t_2)} & p & t_1 & q+t_2 & 0 \\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & -\frac{{t_1}^2(p+q+t_2)(p-q-t_2)}{4p(q+t_2)^2} & -\frac{t_1(p+q+t_2)}{2(q+t_2} & -\frac{{t_1}^2(p+q+t_2)(p-q-t_2)}{4(q+t_2)p^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, there is one relation:

$$t_1^2(p + q + t_2)(p - q - t_2)/p^2 = 0,$$

which in the generic case has only the solution $t_1 = 0$, which simplifies the formula for the versal deformation to $d^\infty = d_{15}(p + t_2 : q)$. This means that in the generic case, the deformations are only along the family.

In the $(0 : 1)$ case, we have $h^2 = 2|3$ and $h^3 = 5|3$. The versal deformation is given by

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_1^{31}t_1 + \psi_3^{33}t_1 + \psi_1^{23}t_2 + \psi_2^{32}t_3 + \psi_1^{31}(t_3 + t_1t_2).$$

There are two nontrivial relations on the base of the versal deformation,

$$t_3(t_1 + t_3) = 0, \quad t_2(t_1 + 2t_3 + t_1t_2) = 0,$$

which have solutions

$$t_1 = t_3 = 0, \quad t_2 = t_3 = 0, \quad t_2 = 0, t_3 = -t_1, \quad t_3 = 0, t_2 = -1, \quad t_3 = -t_1, t_2 = 1.$$

The last two solutions are not local, in the sense that the lines they parameterize do not pass through the origin, and thus are not relevant to the deformation picture. Thus the base of the versal deformation consists of three lines through the origin. The first line is just $d_{15}(t_2 : 1)$, which is a deformation along the family, the second is a jump deformation to d_7 and the third is a jump deformation to d_5.

In the $(1 : 0)$ case, we have $h^2 = 2|3$ and $h^3 = 5|3$. Note that this algebra is the opposite algebra to $d_{15}(0 : 1)$, so its versal deformation could be given by the opposite algebra to the versal deformation of $d_{15}(0 : 1)$. In particular, we have a similar description of the solutions to the versal deformation, and we obtain deformations along the family, as well as jump deformations to d_8 and d_6, the opposite algebras to d_7 and d_5.

In the $(1:1)$ case, we have $h^2 = 2|2$ and $h^3 = 4|2$. The versal deformation is given by

$$d^\infty = d + \psi_1^{31} t_1 + \psi_3^{33} t_1 + \psi_1^{32} t_2 - \psi_2^{23} \frac{t_1(t_2+2)}{2(t_2+1)} - \psi_1^{31} \frac{t_1 t_2}{2(t_2+1)} + \psi_2^{13} \frac{t_1^2 t_2 (t_2+1)}{4(t_2+1)^2}.$$

Note that d^∞ is expressed as a rational function of the parameters rather than a polynomial. This means that the versal deformation is not given by a finite order deformation (in terms of this basis of H^2), but rather by a power series. It is very interesting to note that in the examples which we have studied, it has always been possible to find a basis in which the expression of the versal deformation is given by a rational expression, although we do not know if this is true in general.

There is one nontrivial relation on the base, $t_1^2 t_2 (t_2+2)/(1+t_2) = 0$, which has two local solutions, $t_1 = 0$ or $t_2 = 0$. Thus the base of the versal deformation is given by two lines through the origin. The first line gives $d^\infty = d_{15}(1 : 1 + t_2)$, which is a deformation along the family, while the second line is a jump deformation to d_4.

In the $(1:-1)$ case, we have $h^2 = 4|3$ and $h^3 = 5|4$. We omit the expression for the versal deformation, because it is a bit nasty. However, there are two local solutions to the relations on the base of the versal deformation, $t_2 = 0$ or $t_1 = t_3 = 0$, which means the base is given by a plane and a line intersecting transversally at the origin. The first solution gives

$$d^\infty = d - \psi_1^{13} t_1 + \psi_1^{31} t_2 + \psi_3^{33} t_1 + \psi_3^{22} t_3 + \psi_3^{11} t_1^2 t_3 - \psi_3^{12} t_1 t_3 - \psi_3^{21} t_1 t_3.$$

When neither t_1 nor t_3 vanish, the deformation is equivalent to d_1, while on the line $t_3 = 0$, it jumps to d_{11}, and on the line $t_1 = 0$, it jumps to d_{12}.

The second solution is $d^\infty = d_{15}(1 : -1 + t_2)$, which is just a deformation along the family.

Note that for this family, the generic point $d_{15}(0:0)$ is just the zero codifferential, which obviously has jump deformations to every codifferential in the moduli space.

References

1. L. Brunshidle, M. Penkava, M. Phillipson, and D. Wackwitz, *Fundamental theorem of finite dimensional graded associative algebras*, preprint, 2009.
2. C. DeCleene, M. Penkava, and M. Phillipson, *Classification of two r|s-dimensional nilpotent algebras*, Preprint, 2009.
3. A. Fialowski and M. Penkava, *Extensions of associative algebras*, preprint, 2007.
4. ———, *Formal deformations, contractions and moduli spaces of Lie algebras*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **47** (2007), no. 2, 561–582.
5. M. Gerstenhaber, *The cohomology structure of an associative ring*, Annals of Mathematics **78** (1963), 267–288.
6. ———, *On the deformations of ringe and algebras I*, Annals of Mathematics **79** (1964), 59–103.
7. ———, *On the deformations of ringe and algebras II*, Annals of Mathematics **84** (1966), 1–19.
8. ———, *On the deformations of ringe and algebras III*, Annals of Mathematics **88** (1968), 1–34.
9. ———, *On the deformations of ringe and algebras IV*, Annals of Mathematics **99** (1974), 257–276.
10. G. Hochschild, *On the cohomology groups of an associative algebra*, Annals of Mathematics **46** (1945), 58–67.

11. M. Mulase and M. Penkava, *Ribbon graphs, quadratic differentials on Riemann surfaces, and algebraic curves defined over* $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, Asian Journal of Mathematics **2** (1998), 875–920, math-ph/9811024.
12. B. Peirce, *Linear associative algebra*, American Journal of Mathematics **4** (1881), 97.
13. M. Penkava and A. Schwarz, *On some algebraic structures arising in string theory*, Perspectives in Mathematical Physics (R Penner and S. Yau, eds.), International Press, 1994, pp. 219–227.
14. ———, A_∞ *algebras and the cohomology of moduli spaces*, Dynkin Seminar, vol. 169, American Mathematical Society, 1995, pp. 91–107.
15. M. Penkava and P. Vanhaecke, *Deformation quantization of polynomial Poisson algebras*, Journal of Algebra (2000), no. 227, 365–393.
16. J.D. Stasheff, *The intrinsic bracket on the deformation complex of an associative algebra*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **89** (1993), 231–235.

Chris DeCleene, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54702-4004
E-mail address: cdecleene@gmail.com

Carolyn Otto, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005-1827
E-mail address: cotto@rice.edu

Michael Penkava, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54702-4004
E-mail address: penkavmr@uwec.edu

Mitch Phillipson, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54702-4004
E-mail address: phillima@uwec.edu

Ryan Steinbach, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706-1796
E-mail address: rsteinbach@wisc.edu

Eric Weber, University of Wisconsin-Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI 54702-4004
E-mail address: webered@uwec.edu